arXiv:1603.07212v4 [math.AG] 6 Dec 2016

ANALYTIC NUMBER THEORY FOR 0-CYCLES

WEIYAN CHEN

Abstract. There is a well-known analogy between integers and polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and a vast literature on analytic number theory for polynomials. From a geometric point of view, polynomials are equivalent to effective 0-cycles on the affine line. This leads one to ask: Can the analogy between integers and polynomials be extended to 0-cycles on more general varieties? In this paper we study prime factorization of effective 0-cycles on an arbitrary connected variety V over $\mathbb{F}_q$, emphasizing the analogy between integers and 0-cycles. For example, inspired by the works of Granville and Rhoades, we prove that the prime factors of 0-cycles are typically Poisson distributed.

1. Introduction

Riemann observed that the classical zeta function encodes information about how a random integer factors into primes. The goal of this paper is to present concrete examples addressing the question: What does the zeta function of a variety V tell us about how a random 0-cycle on V factors into "primes"? We study prime factorization of effective 0-cycles on a connected variety V over $\mathbb{F}_q$, emphasizing the analogy between integers and 0-cycles. The key input comes from a version of the Weil conjectures for varieties not necesarily complete or nonsingular, proved by Dwork, Grothendieck, Deligne *et al.*

1.1. **Prime factorization of 0-cycles.** By a "variety" we mean an integral scheme of finite type. Let q be a power of a prime number. Fix V to be a geometrically connected variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We do not require V to be projective or nonsingular. An *effective 0-cycle* C on V over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (we will just call it a "0-cycle" in this paper) is a formal $\mathbb{N}$-linear sum

$$C = n_1P_1 + n_2P_2 + \cdots + n_lP_l \qquad n_i \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.1}$$

of closed points P_i on V. The *degree* of C is $\deg(C) := \sum_i n_i \deg(P_i)$ where $\deg(P_i)$ denotes the degree of the closed point. We view equation (1.1) as giving the *prime factorization of 0-cycle* written additively, where closed points on V play the role of "primes". The set of all 0-cycles on V of degree n is precisely $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points on the n-th symmetric power $\mathrm{Sym}^n V := V^n/S_n$. The square-free 0-cycles *i.e.* those with each $n_i = 1$ in (1.1) are the $\mathbb{F}_q$-points on the n-th configuration space $\mathrm{Conf}^n V$ (see Section 2 below).

A well-known example is when V is the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1$. There is a natural bijection between closed points on $\mathbb{A}^1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree n and monic irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree n. This bijection extends via equation (1.1) to be between 0-cycles on $\mathbb{A}^1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and monic polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Adding two 0-cycles on $\mathbb{A}^1$ corresponds to multiplying two polynomials. In this way, 0-cycles on a variety V generalize monic polynomials.

We point out that $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is not the n-th symmetric power of $V(\mathbb{F}_q)$. For example, the polynomial x^2+1 is an element in $\mathrm{Sym}^2\mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{F}_3)$, though none of its roots lies in $\mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{F}_3)$.

Date: December 7, 2016.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11G25, 14C99, 14G15, 05A15.
Key words: 0-cycles, varieties over finite fields, Weil conjectures, Poisson distribution.

The analogy between integers and polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ can be extended to 0-cycles on a connected variety V over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We summarize the correspondence in Table 1 below.

TABLE 1. Integers *vs.* 0-cycles

Positive integers x	0-cycles C on a variety V over $\mathbb{F}_q$
$\log x$	$\deg C$
Multiplication of integers	Formal addition of 0-cycles
Integers in $(N, 2N]$	$\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$
Square-free integers in $(N, 2N]$	$\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$
Prime numbers	Closed points on V
Prime factorization of integers	Prime factorization of 0-cycles

Note that the degree of 0-cycles corresponds to the logarithm of positive integers because $\deg(C + D) = \deg C + \deg D$, just as for integers, $\log(x \cdot y) = \log x + \log y$.

1.2. **Summary of results.** There has been a vast literature on analytic number theory for polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Guided by the analogy in Table 1, we explore analytic number theory for 0-cycles: we study asymptotic statistics for the prime factorization of 0-cycles on a connected variety V over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Our results, to be summarized below, are analogs of classical results in analytic number theory, and also generalize previous works about polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Among these results, Theorem 4 is the most difficult to prove.

First, we start with the prime number theorem, proved by Hadamard and de la Vallée-Poussin, which says that the probability for a random integer in $(N, 2N]$ to be prime is

$$\sim \frac{1}{\log N} \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty.$$

We prove a similar statement for 0-cycles: there exists a constant c depending on the variety V such that the probability for a random 0-cycle in $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ to be irreducible is

$$\sim \frac{c}{\log |\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

More precisely, we prove the following statement with an explicit error bound:

Proposition 1 (**Prime number theorem for 0-cycles**). *Suppose V is a geometrically connected scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $d := \dim V \geq 1$. Let $Z(V, t)$ be the zeta function of V and let $\mathring{Z}(V, t) := Z(V, t)(1 - q^d t)$. If*

$$\pi_n := \Big| \{ C \in \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q) : C \text{ is prime} \} \Big|,$$

then we have

$$\frac{\pi_n}{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{\mathring{Z}(V, q^{-d})} + O(\frac{1}{nq^{n/2}}).$$

The Weil conjectures guarantee $\mathring{Z}(V, q^{-d})$ to be a positive number. See Section 2 below. Proposition 1 in the case $V = \mathbb{A}^1$ is a classical; it was first proved by Gauss.

Proposition 1 gives the asymptotic probability for a 0-cycle to be prime. We further ask: What is the probability for a 0-cycle to be "nearly prime" *i.e.* to factor into a product of large primes? and to be "highly composite", *i.e.* to factor into a product of small primes?

The asymptotic answer to the two questions will be described by the following two functions $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, respectively: the *Buchstab function* ω and the *Dickman–de Bruijn function* ρ. See Section 4.2 below for their definitions. The two functions are important because of the following classical theorems in analytic number theory:

- (Buchstab [2]) If $\Phi(x, y)$ denotes the number of integers $\leq x$ whose prime factors are all $\geq y$, then for any $u \geq 1$, as $x \to \infty$
$$\frac{\Phi(x, x^{1/u})}{x} \sim \frac{\omega(u)u}{\log x}.$$
- (Dickman [7], with error term proved by Ramaswami [21]) If $\Psi(x, y)$ denotes the number of integers $\leq x$ whose prime factors are all $\leq y$, then for any $u \geq 1$, as $x \to \infty$
$$\frac{\Psi(x, x^{1/u})}{x} = \rho(u) + O(1/\log x).$$

We prove the following analogs for 0-cycles:

Proposition 2 (**No small/large factor**). *Suppose V is a geometrically connected scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $d := \dim V \geq 1$. Let $\Phi(x, m)$ denote the number of 0-cycles of degree n on V whose prime factors all have degree $\geq m$, and $\Psi(n, m)$ denote the number of 0-cycles of degree n on V whose prime factors all have degree $\leq m$. Then for every $u > 0$, as $n \to \infty$,*

$$\frac{\Phi(n, n/u)}{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sim \frac{\omega(u)u}{n} \tag{1.3}$$

$$\frac{\Psi(n, n/u)}{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} = \rho(u) + O(1/n) \tag{1.4}$$

Proposition 2 in the case when $V = \mathbb{A}^1$ was proved in [3] and [18].

Next, we ask: Fix a prime P, what is the probability for a 0-cycle to be divisible by P? More generally, how does the order of P *i.e.* the coefficients in (1.1) in a random 0-cycle distribute? We first consider the same questions for integers. For a prime number p, the p-adic order ν_p (*i.e.* the order of p in the prime factorization) can be viewed as a random variable on $(N, 2N]$. A straightforward calculation gives the asymptotic distribution of ν_p on (square-free) integers in $(N, 2N]$ as $N \to \infty$:

(1) $\mathrm{Prob}(\nu_p(m) = j : m \in (N, 2N]) \longrightarrow p^{-j}(1 - p^{-1})$, geometric distribution.
(2) $\mathrm{Prob}(\nu_p(m) = 1 : \text{square-free } m \in (N, 2N]) \longrightarrow (p + 1)^{-1}$, Bernoulli distribution.
(3) If p and l are different primes, then ν_p and ν_l on $(N, 2N]$, or on square-free integers in $(N, 2N]$, tend to be independent.

We prove the corresponding statements for 0-cycles.

Proposition 3 (**Asymptotic distribution of prime orders in 0-cycles**). *Suppose V is a geometrically connected scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $d := \dim V \geq 1$. There exists a constant b depending only on V satisfying the following. For a closed point P on V and a 0-cycle C, define $\nu_P(C)$ to be the order of P in the prime factorization of C as in (1.1). Let $k := \deg(P)$.*

(1′) *The random variable ν_P on $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ converges to the geometric distribution as $n \to \infty$. Precisely, for any natural number j, we have the following estimate for*

probability:

$$\mathrm{Prob}(\nu_P(C) = j : C \in \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)) = \left(\frac{1}{q^{dk}}\right)^j \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{dk}}\right)\left(1 + O(\frac{n^b}{q^n})\right).$$

(2′) *The random variable ν_P restricted to $\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ converges to the Bernoulli distribution as $n \to \infty$. Precisely, the probability*

$$\mathrm{Prob}(\nu_P(C) = 1 : C \in \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)) = \frac{1}{q^{dk}+1}\left(1 + O(\frac{n^b}{q^n})\right).$$

(3′) *If P and Q are different closed points, then ν_P and ν_Q on $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ or on $\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ tend to be independent random variables as $n \to \infty$. Precisely,*

$$\mathrm{Prob}(\nu_P = i \text{ and } \nu_Q = j) = \mathrm{Prob}(\nu_P = i) \cdot \mathrm{Prob}(\nu_Q = j) \cdot \left(1 + O(\frac{n^b}{q^n})\right),$$

where the probability is taken over either $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ or $\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Proposition 3 in the case $V = \mathbb{A}^1$ was proved by Arratia-Barbour-Tavare (Theorem 3.1 in [1]). Proposition 3 in the case when V is the affine or the projective space can also be deduced from a theorem of Poonen (Theorem 1.1 in [19]). Recently, Farb-Wolfson and the author independently generalized a theorem of Church-Ellenberg-Farb [5] about asymptotic arithmetic statistics on $\mathrm{Conf}^n \mathbb{A}^1$ to that on $\mathrm{Conf}^n V$ for V a smooth variety (see Theorem B in [11] and Corollary 4 in [4]). Proposition 3 gives a probabilistic interpretation and a new proof of this generalization, and removes the assumption for V to be smooth. See Section 3.3 below for more details.

Remark 1 (**Erdős-Kac's heuristic).** Erdős and Kac made the following brilliant observation. Let $\Omega(m)$ denote the total number of prime factors of an integer $m \in (N, 2N]$, counted with multiplicities. Then $\Omega(m) = \sum_p \nu_p(m)$, summing over all prime numbers p. Since ν_p tends to independent random variables as $N \to \infty$ by the statement (3) above, heuristically Ω is a sum of independent random variable in the limit. By the Central Limit Theorem, one should expect Ω to tend to the normal distribution as $N \to \infty$. This observation leads Erdős and Kac to prove their celebrated theorem in [8] which roughly says that when N is large, Ω is closed to be normally distributed with mean and variance $\sim \log(\log N)$.[1]

By Proposition 3, the exact same heuristic applies to 0-cycles. For C a 0-cycle, define $\Omega(C)$ to be the number of factors in the prime factorization of C counted with multiplicities. Then

$$\Omega(C) = \sum_{P \in V^{cl}} \nu_P(C),$$

where V^{cl} is the set of closed points of V. By Porposition 3, the sequence $(\nu_P)_{P \in V^{cl}}$ converges to a sequence of independent random variables as $n \to \infty$. As before, one should expect that when $n \to \infty$, the distribution of Ω on $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ would be close to normal with mean and variance $\sim \log n$ (recall that n corresponds to $\log N$ in Table 1). This heuristic is confirmed by a theorem of Liu (see Corollary 2 in [16]).

[1]Erdős-Kac theorem was originally about ω, the number of distinct prime factors. But the same heuristic applies and the same result holds for Ω.

Erdős-Kac's heuristic and Liu's theorem imply that a 0-cycle C is expected to contain $\sim \log\deg C$ many prime factors. Thus, for C with prime factorization $C = n_1P_1 + \cdots n_lP_l$,

$$\phi(C) := \Big\{ \log\deg P_1,\ \log\deg P_2,\ \cdots,\ \log\deg P_l \Big\}$$

is typically a collection of $\sim \log\deg C$ many numbers in the interval $[0, \log\deg C]$. How should we expect $\phi(C)$ to distribute in $[0, \log\deg C]$?

We first consider the same question for integers. Granville (Theorem 1 in [12]) proved that for almost all integers x, the sets of numbers

$$\phi(x) := \{\log(\log p) : p|x\}$$

are close to being "random" *i.e.* Poisson distributed. A sequence of finite sets $S_1, S_2, \cdots$ is said to be *Poisson distributed* (see [12]) if there exist functions $m_j, K_j, L_j \to \infty$ monotonically as $j \to \infty$ such that $S_j \subset [0, m_j]$ and $|S_j| \sim m_j$; and for all L with $L \in [1/L_j, L_j]$ and all integer k with $k \in [0, K_j]$, we have

$$\mathrm{Prob}\bigg(t \in [0, m_j] : \Big| S_j \cap [t, t+L] \Big| = k \bigg) \sim e^{-L}\frac{L^k}{k!},$$

where Prob stands for probability with respect to the Lebesgue measure. For example, if S_j is a set of j real numbers chosen uniformly and independently in the interval $[0, j]$, then the sequence S_j is almost surely Poisson distributed. In this paper, we prove the following analog of Granville's theorem for 0-cycles.

Theorem 4 (**Prime factors in 0-cycles are Poisson distributed**). *Suppose V is a geometrically connected scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $d := \dim V \geq 1$. As $n \to \infty$, for almost every 0-cycle C in $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with prime factorization $C = n_1P_1 + \cdots n_lP_l$, the set of numbers*

$$\phi(C) := \Big\{ \log\deg P_1,\ \log\deg P_2,\ \cdots,\ \log\deg P_l \Big\} \tag{1.5}$$

is approximately Poisson distributed. More precisely, there exist functions $K(n), L(n) \to \infty$ monotonically as $n \to \infty$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$, and all n sufficiently large depending on ϵ, with Prob *representing probability under the Lebesgue measure, we have*

$$(1-\epsilon)e^{-L}\frac{L^k}{k!} \leq \mathrm{Prob}\bigg(t \in [0, \log n] : \Big| \phi(C) \cap [t, t+L] \Big| = k \bigg) \leq (1+\epsilon)e^{-L}\frac{L^k}{k!}$$

for all $L \in [1/L(n), L(n)]$ and all integer $k \leq K(n)$, and for at least $(1-\epsilon)|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ many 0-cycles C in $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Remark 2 (**Related work**). Theorem 4 in the case when $V = \mathbb{A}^1$ was first proved by Rhoades (Theorem 1.3 in [22]). Following the theme that integers and permutations should have similar statistical behaviors, Granville proved that the cycle lengths of permutations are also typically Poisson (Theorem 1 in [14]). See Granville's excellent survey [13] for more on the anatomy of integers and permutations. Our proof of Theorem 4 uses ideas from both Rhoades' and Granville's works.

Remark 3 (**Proof methods**). The key ingredient in all the results above comes from the Weil conjectures, which are now theorems thanks to the works of Dwork, Grothendieck, Deligne *et al.* In addition, to prove Proposition 2, we use general results about decomposable combinatorial structures proved in [3] and [18]. To prove Theorem 4, we establish a comparison lemma (Lemma 15 below) relating statistics about permutations and about 0-cycles, and then apply Granville's theorem in [14] about cycle lengths in permutations.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Andrew Barbour, Jordan Ellenberg, Matthew Emerton, Andrew Granville, Sean Howe, Dan Petersen, and Jesse Wolfson for helpful conversations, and to thank Sean Howe, Jeffrey Lagarias, Andrew Sutherland and an anonymous referee for suggestions on an earlier draft. The author is deeply grateful to his advisor Benson Farb, both for his kind support of this project and for his detailed comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

2. Symmetric powers, Zeta function, and the Weil conjectures

In this section we recall the Weil conjectures. All result presented in this section is known.

The *n-th symmetric power* of a variety V is the quotient

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n V := V^n/S_n,$$

where the symmetric group S_n acts on V^n by permuting the coordinates. $\mathrm{Sym}^n V$ is also a variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (see [20], page 66). An $\mathbb{F}_q$-point on $\mathrm{Sym}^n V$ is precisely a 0-cycle of degree n on V defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Similarly, the *n-th configuration space* is defined to be

$$\mathrm{Conf}^n V := \{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in V^n : x_i \neq x_j,\ \forall i \neq j\}/S_n,$$

where S_n also acts on permuting the coordinates. $\mathrm{Conf}^n V$ is a subvariety of $\mathrm{Sym}^n V$. An $\mathbb{F}_q$-point on $\mathrm{Conf}^n V$ is precisely a square-free 0-cycle of degree n on V over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We first recall a famous theorem of Lang-Weil.

Theorem 5 (Lang-Weil, Theorem 1 in [17])**.** *Suppose V is a subvariety of a projective space defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $d := \dim V$. We have*

$$\frac{|V(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})|}{q^{nd}} = 1 + O(\frac{1}{q^{n/2}}). \tag{2.1}$$

Lang-Weil assumed V to be a subvariety of a projective space. The following theorem will imply that (2.1) also holds for any geometrically connected schemes of finite type.

Theorem 6 (Dwork, Grothendieck, Deligne *et al*)**.** *Suppose V is a geometrically connected scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $d := \dim V$. Let $Z(V,t)$ be the* zeta function *of V:*

$$Z(V,t) := \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|V(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})|}{k} t^k\right) = \sum_{n=0} |\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)| t^n. \tag{2.2}$$

(I) There exist polynomials $P_i(t)$ for $i = 0, \cdots, 2d$ such that

$$Z(V,t) = \frac{P_1(t)\cdots P_{2d-1}(t)}{P_0(t)\cdots P_{2d}(t)},$$

where $P_{2d}(t) = 1 - q^d t$.

(II) For each i, for each α such that $P_i(\alpha) = 0$, there exists some $j \leq i$ such that $|\alpha| = q^{-j/2}$. It is possible that j depends on α.

When V is nonsingular and projective, the equality $j = i$ will be achieved for all α in (II); in this case, (I) and (II) are parts of the *Weil conjectures*, orginially formulated by Weil [23]. Part (II), due to Deligne (see Théorème I (3.3.1) in [6]), are often called the "Riemann Hypothesis over finite fields"; it will be especially important for our purposes.

3. Prime number theorem and asymptotic distribution of prime orders

In this section we will prove Propositions 1 and 3 stated in the introduction.

3.1. **Proof of Proposition 1.** We will prove Lemma 7 and 8, which give estimates for $|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ and π_n, respectively. Taking the quotient, we obtain Proposition 1.

Lemma 7. *Suppose V is a connected variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of dimension d. Define $\mathring{Z}(V,t) := Z(V,t)(1-q^d t)$. There exists a constant b depending only on V such that*

$$\frac{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{q^{nd}} = \mathring{Z}(V, q^{-d}) + O(\frac{n^b}{q^n}). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Theorem 6 gives that $\mathring{Z}(V,t) := Z(V,t)(1-q^d t)$ is a rational function in t with radius of convergence at least $q^{-\frac{2d-2}{2}} = q^{-(d-1)}$. In particular, $\mathring{Z}(V,t)$ converges at $t = q^{-d}$. We decompose $Z(V,t)$ into partial fractions of the following form:

$$Z(V,t) = \underbrace{\frac{\mathring{Z}(V,q^{-d})}{1-q^d t}}_{\text{dominating term}} + \underbrace{\frac{\mathring{Z}(V,t) - \mathring{Z}(V,q^{-d})}{1-q^d t}}_{\text{remainder}}. \tag{3.2}$$

The dominating term in (3.2), which is a geometric series, tells that $|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ grows like $\mathring{Z}(V,q^{-d})q^{nd}$, contributing to the first summand in (3.1) The remainder in (3.2) is a rational function in t with radius of convergence at least $q^{-\frac{2d-2}{2}} = q^{-(d-1)}$. Thus, its n-th Taylor coefficient grows like $O(n^b q^{n(d-1)})$ where b is the number of poles with norm $q^{-(d-1)}$ (see Theorem IV.9 in [9]), which after normalization contributes to the error term in (3.1).

Remark 4 (**The constant** b)**.** From the proof, the constant b is equal to the number of poles of $Z(V,t)$ with norm $q^{-(d-1)}$, which further depends on the compactly supported étale cohomology of V in dimension $2d-2$.

Lemma 8. *Suppose V is a connected variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of dimension d, then*

$$\frac{\pi_n}{q^{nd}} = \frac{1}{n} + O(\frac{1}{nq^{\frac{n}{2}}}).$$

Proof of Lemma 8. Since the $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$-points on V are precisely the fixed points of Frob_{q^n}, we have:

$$|V(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})| = \sum_{k|n} k\pi_k.$$

Applying Möbius inversion, we obtain

$$\pi_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k|n} \mu(n/k)|V(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})|. \tag{3.3}$$

This formula together with (2.1) establishes the lemma.

3.2. **Proof of Proposition 3.** Let P be a closed point of V with degree k.

Step (1): ν_P on $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$. For any $C \in \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and any natural number j,

$$\nu_P(C) \geq j \iff C = \underbrace{x + \ldots + x}_{j\text{-times}} + C' \quad \text{for some } C' \in \mathrm{Sym}^{n-jk} V(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Prob}(\nu_P \geq j:\ \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)) &= \frac{|\mathrm{Sym}^{n-jk} V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{jkd}} \cdot \left(1 + O(\frac{n^b}{q^n})\right) \qquad \text{by (3.1).}\end{aligned}$$

The claim is established by taking

$$\mathrm{Prob}\Big(\nu_P = j:\ \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)\Big) = \mathrm{Prob}\Big(\nu_P \geq j:\ \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)\Big) - \mathrm{Prob}\Big(\nu_P \geq j-1:\ \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)\Big).$$

Step (2): ν_P on $\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We first prove a lemma on the size of $|\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|$.

Lemma 9. *There exists a constant b (which is the same as in Lemma 7) such that*

$$\frac{|\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{q^{nd}} = \frac{\mathring{Z}(V, q^{-d})}{Z(V, q^{-2d})} + O(\frac{n^b}{q^n}). \tag{3.4}$$

Proof of Lemma 9.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)| t^n = \prod_{x \in V^{cl}} (1 + t^{\deg x}) = \prod_{x \in V^{cl}} \frac{1 - t^{2\deg x}}{1 - t^{\deg x}} = \frac{Z(V,t)}{Z(V,t^2)}.$$

Now$Z(V,t)/Z(V,t^2)$ is the generating function for $|\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. By part (II) of Theorem 6, $Z(V,t)/Z(V,t^2)$ is rational with $t = q^{-d}$ the unique pole with the smallest absolute value. The lemma follows by the same partial fraction method as in the proof of (3.1).

In particular, by Lemma 9, there is a constant m such that for any integers n and j,

$$\left| \frac{|\mathrm{Conf}^{n-j} V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{|\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} - \frac{1}{q^{jd}} \right| \leq \frac{m}{q^{jd}} (\frac{n^b}{q^n}). \tag{3.5}$$

Define

$$\mathrm{Conf}_x^n V(\mathbb{F}_q) := \{C \in \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q) : \nu_P(C) = 1\}.$$

Observe that for any $C \in \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$,

$$\nu_P(C) = 1 \iff C = x + C' \quad \text{for some } C' \in \mathrm{Conf}^{n-jk} V(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \mathrm{Conf}_x^{n-jk} V(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Counting elements give:

$$|\mathrm{Conf}_x^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)| = |\mathrm{Conf}^{n-jk} V(\mathbb{F}_q)| - |\mathrm{Conf}_x^{n-jk} V(\mathbb{F}_q)|. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Prob}(\nu_P = 1:\ \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)) = \frac{|\mathrm{Conf}_x^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{|\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \\ &= \frac{|\mathrm{Conf}^{n-jk} V(\mathbb{F}_q)| - |\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2jk} V(\mathbb{F}_q)| + |\mathrm{Conf}^{n-3jk} V(\mathbb{F}_q)| - \cdots}{|\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \quad \text{by (3.6) iteratively} \\ &= (q^{-jkd} - q^{-2jkd} + q^{-3jkd} - \cdots) \cdot \left(1 + O(\frac{n^b}{q^n})\right) \qquad \text{by (3.5)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + q^{jkd}} \left(1 + O(\frac{n^b}{q^n})\right).\end{aligned}$$

Step (3): Independence. For any distinct closed points P and Q on V, the same calculation as above gives that for random C in $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ or in $\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$,

$$\mathrm{Prob}\Big(\nu_P \geq i \text{ and } \nu_Q \geq j\Big) = \mathrm{Prob}\Big(\nu_P \geq i\Big) \cdot \mathrm{Prob}\Big(\nu_Q \geq j\Big) \cdot \Big(1 + O(\frac{n^b}{q^n})\Big).$$

3.3. **Statistics weighted by character polynomials.** In this section we discuss a corollary of Proposition 3. For each positive integer k, define $X_k : \coprod_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n \to \mathbb{Z}$ where $X_k(\sigma)$ is the number of k-cycles in the unique cycle decomposition of $\sigma \in S_n$. A *character polynomial* is a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, X_2, \cdots]$. It defines a class function on S_n for all n. For every 0-cycle C of degree n, choose a permutation $\sigma_C \in S_n$ unique up to conjugacy such that

$$\forall k = 1, 2, \cdots n, \qquad X_k(\sigma_C) = \text{number of prime factors of degree } k \text{ in } C. \tag{3.7}$$

The map $C \mapsto \sigma_C$ gives the following function:

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q) \to \{\text{conjugacy classes in } S_n\}. \tag{3.8}$$

In particular, if $C \in \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then σ_C records how the Frobenius automorphism permutes the n distinct points in C. Every character polynomial P can be viewed as a random variable on $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ or on $\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ for all n, via the map $C \mapsto P(\sigma_C)$. Church-Ellenberg-Farb used the theory of representation stability to prove that for any character polynomial P, the limit of the expected value of P over $\mathrm{Conf}^n \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[P; \mathrm{Conf}^n \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)]$$

always exists [[5], Theorem 1]. This result was later generalized by Farb-Wolfson [[11], Theorem C] and by Chen [[4], Corollary 4] from $\mathrm{Conf}^n \mathbb{A}^1$ to $\mathrm{Conf}^n V$ for any smooth, connected variety V. The approaches in [5] and in [11] were algebro-geometric, using the stability of étale cohomology of $\mathrm{Conf}^n V$ with twisted coefficients. The approach in [4] was analytic: the limit of $\mathbb{E}[P; \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)]$ was calculated from the zeta function of V. In the following, we will give a new proof of the generalized result from a probabilistic point of view. Furthermore, the original assumption for V to be smooth (as was needed in [11] and [4]) is removed in this new proof.

Corollary 10. *Suppose V is a geometrically connected scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $d := \dim V \geq 1$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l)$ be any sequence of nonnegative integers, and let*

$$\binom{X}{\lambda} := \prod_{k=1}^{l} \binom{X_k}{\lambda_k},$$

considered as a random variable on $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and on $\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then the following expected values have explicit asymptotic formulas:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\binom{X}{\lambda}; \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)\right] = \prod_{k=1}^{l} \binom{\pi_k + \lambda_k - 1}{\lambda_k} \frac{1}{(q^{kd} - 1)^{\lambda_k}} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\binom{X}{\lambda}; \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)\right] = \prod_{k=1}^{l} \binom{\pi_k}{\lambda_k} \frac{1}{(q^{kd} + 1)^{\lambda_k}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Every character polynomial P can be written as a linear combination of polynomials of the form $\binom{X}{\lambda}$. Thus Corollary 10 implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[P; \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)]$ converges for any character polynomial P.

Proof. Observe that for any $C \in \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$, we have

$$X_k(\sigma_C) = \text{total number of factors in } C \text{ of degree } k = \sum_{P:\deg P=k} \nu_P(C),$$

where the sum is over all closed points P of degree k. By Proposition 3, the random variable X_k on $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ converges to a sum of π_k many independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) geometric random variables. Therefore X_k converges to the negative binomial distribution. Similarly, the random variable X_k on $\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ converges to a sum of π_k many i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables, and therefore tends to the binomial distribution. The well-known formulas for the factorial moments of the limiting negative binomial and binomial distributions give the right hand sides of (3.9) and (3.10), respectively.

4. 0-cycles as decomposable combinatorial structures

In this section we prove Proposition 2 stated in the introduction. We apply the powerful axiomatic results from the theory of decomposable combinatorical structures in [3], and [18]. The axioms because of the Weil conjectures.

4.1. **Abstract decomposable combinatorial structures.** Consider the following general set-up. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a set of combinatorial objects where each element has a nonnegative degree. Define $\mathcal{P}$ to be the set of all multisets of elements in $\mathcal{C}$, where the degree of each multiset is defined to be the sum of the degree of its elements with multiplicities. Then the triple $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P}, \text{degree})$ is called a *decomposable combinatorial structure* (see introduction in [10]). Objects in $\mathcal{P}$ can be viewed as "composites", while objects in $\mathcal{C}$ can be viewed as "primes". Given a decomposable combinatorial structure $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P}, \text{degree})$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_n &:= \{\text{elements in } \mathcal{C} \text{ of degree } n\}, & \mathcal{P}_n &:= \{\text{elements in } \mathcal{P} \text{ of degree } n\}, \\ C_n &:= |\mathcal{C}_n|, & P_n &:= |\mathcal{P}_n|, \\ C(t) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n t^n, & P(t) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n t^n. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We also consider $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ which consists of sets (instead of multisets) of distinct elements in $\mathcal{C}$. We define $\mathcal{D}_n$ and D_n similarly. See [10] for many examples of decomposable combinatorial structures that arise naturally in different areas of mathematics. In this paper, we will focus on the following example.

Example 1 (0-cycles as decomposable combinatorial structures). For each n, let $\mathcal{P}_n := \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $\mathcal{C}_n := \{\text{closed points on } V \text{ of degree } n\}$. Then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P}, \deg)$ is a decomposable combinatorial structure. In this case, $P(t)$ is precisely the zeta function $Z(V, t)$ of the variety V. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}_n = \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

We now apply general results of decomposable combinatorial structures to study 0-cycles.

4.2. **Proof of Proposition 2.** First, we state the definitions of the Buchstab and the Dickman–de Bruijn functions.

Definition 1. The *Buchstab function* $\omega : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is the unique continuous function satisfying the differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(u) &= 1/u, & 1 \leq u \leq 2, \\ \omega(u) + u\omega'(u) &= \omega(u-1), & u \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

The *Dickman–de Bruijn function* $\rho : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is the unique continuous function satisfying the differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(u) &= 1 && 0 \leq u \leq 1, \\ u\rho'(u) + \rho(u-1) &= 0 && u \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2 will be a consequence of Lemma 7 together with the following general theorems about decomposable combinatorial structures. Given a decomposable combinatorial structure $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P}, \deg)$ as in the previous section, define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(n,m) &:= |\{p \in P_n : p \text{ contains only primes of degree} \geq m\}|, \\ \Psi(n,m) &:= |\{p \in P_n : p \text{ contains only primes of degree} \leq m\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 11 (Bender-Mashatan-Panario-Richmond, Omar-Panario-Richmond-Whitely)**.** *Assume there exist constants K and R such that as $n \to \infty$,*

$$C_n \sim \frac{1}{n} R^n, \qquad P_n \sim K R^n. \tag{4.2}$$

(1) (Theorem 1.1 in [3]*) For any $\epsilon > 0$, and any m, n with $\epsilon \leq m/n \leq 1$,*

$$\frac{C_n}{\Phi(n,m)} \sim \frac{m}{n\omega(n/m)}$$

(2) (Theorem 1 in [18]*) For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any m, n with $\epsilon \leq m/n \leq 1$,*

$$\frac{\Psi(n,m)}{P_n} = \rho(n/m) + O(1/m).$$

In our case (Example 1), we have $C_n = \pi_n$, $P_n = |\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. By Lemmas 7 and 8, conditions (4.2) are satisfied. Thus Theorem 11 implies that for any $u \geq 1$, as $n \to \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Phi(n, n/u)}{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} &= \frac{\Phi(n, n/u)}{\pi_n} \frac{\pi_n}{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sim \frac{u\omega(u)}{n} \\ \frac{\Psi(n, n/u)}{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} &= \rho(u) + O(1/n). \end{aligned}$$

5. Prime factors of 0-cycles are Poisson distributed

In this section we prove Theorem 4 by proving the following quantitative statement:

Theorem 12. *Suppose V is a geometrically connected scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $d := \dim V \geq 1$. For every n large enough, let $y := \log\log n/(\log\log\log n)^2$. There exists a small subset $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $|\Sigma| \leq |\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)| 2^{-y/7}$ such that for every $C \in \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \Sigma$, for every $L \in [1/y, y/20]$, and for every $r \leq y(\log y)^{-2}$,*

$$\frac{1}{\log n} \lambda\left(\left\{ t \in [0, \log n] : \Big| \phi(C) \cap [t, t+L] \Big| = k \right\} \right) = e^{-L} \frac{L^r}{r!} \left[1 + O\Big(\frac{1}{2^{y/15}} \Big) \right] \tag{5.1}$$

where λ denotes the Lebesgue measure and $\phi(C)$ is as in (1.5).

Remark 5 (**Notations**)**.** Before proving Theorem 12, we first remark on notations. For any permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, let μ_σ denote the partition of n given by the cycle type of σ. For any 0-cycle $C \in \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$, abbreviate $\mu_C := \mu_{\sigma_C}$ where σ_C was defined in (3.7).

Suppose χ is an arbitrary class function of S_n. For any $C \in \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$, define $\chi(C) := \chi(\sigma_C)$. For any partition $\mu \vdash n$, define $\chi(\mu) := \chi(\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \in S_n$ with cycle type μ. In

this way, χ can be viewed as a random variable on three different sample space: on S_n, on $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and on $\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We will write $\mathbb{E}[\chi, S]$ for the expected value of χ on the space S.

Proof of Theorem 12. We prove the theorem in three steps: first, we prove a general lemma comparing statistics about 0-cycles and about permutations; second, we apply the comparison lemma to a theorem of Granville about permutations, and obtain an estimate for the left hand side of (5.1); finally, we construct the set $\Sigma \subset \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$, following an argument due to Rhoades [22]. The key input in the whole proof is to establish Lemma 15 in the first step.

Step 1: Compare permutations and square-free 0-cycles. We first prove a general comparison lemma in Lemma 15, which roughly says that statistics on square-free 0-cycles are bounded by the corresponding statistics on permutations.

Lemma 13. *Suppose V is a geometrically connected scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $d := \dim V \geq 1$. For each positive integer n, define a class function g_n of S_n as follows: for any partition $\mu = 1^{\mu_1} 2^{\mu_2} \cdots n^{\mu_n}$ of n,*

$$g_n(\mu) := \prod_{j=1}^{n} \binom{\pi_j}{\mu_j} \frac{j^{\mu_j} \mu_j!}{q^{dj\mu_j}}.$$

Then there exists a constant B depending only on V such that $\mathbb{E}[g_n^2, S_n] \leq B$ for all n.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[g_n^2, S_n] &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \binom{\pi_j}{X_j(\sigma)} \frac{j^{X_j(\sigma)} X_j(\sigma)!}{q^{djX_j(\sigma)}} \right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\frac{j\pi_j}{q^{dj}})^{2X_j(\sigma)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 + Aq^{-j/2})^{X_j(\sigma)} \qquad \text{for some constant } A \text{ depending only on } V
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from Lemma 8. We will prove the following claim.

Claim. For each n, we have

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 + Aq^{-j/2})^{X_j(\sigma)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(\!\!\binom{A}{i}\!\!\right) q^{-i/2}.$$

Recall that $\left(\!\!\binom{m}{n}\!\!\right) := \binom{m+n-1}{n}$ is the number of ways to choose n elements from a set of size m, allowing repetition.

Proof of the claim. We will prove by induction on n. The case when $n = 1$ is easily checked. For induction, we will use the following fact from combinatorics.

Proposition 14 (folklore)**.** *The function*

$$Z_n := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} a_j^{X_j(\sigma)},$$

satisfies the following recurrence relation: $Z_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_l Z_{n-l}$. The polynomial Z_n in the formal variables a_j's is often known as the cycle index *of S_n.*

We apply the proposition by substituting the formal variable a_j by $1 + Aq^{-j/2}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_n &:= \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1+Aq^{-j/2})^{X_j(\sigma)} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}(1+Aq^{-l/2})Z_{n-l} && \text{by the proposition} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}(1+Aq^{-l/2})\sum_{i=0}^{n-l}\left(\!\!\binom{A}{i}\!\!\right)q^{-i/2} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\Bigg[\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-l}\left(\!\!\binom{A}{i}\!\!\right)q^{-i/2} + A\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-l}\left(\!\!\binom{A}{i}\!\!\right)q^{-(i+l)/2}\Bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\Bigg[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(n-k)\left(\!\!\binom{A}{k}\!\!\right)q^{-k/2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\Bigg[\sum_{l=1}^{k}\left(\!\!\binom{A}{k-l}\!\!\right)A\Bigg]q^{-k/2}\Bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\Bigg[(n-k)\left(\!\!\binom{A}{k}\!\!\right) + \sum_{l=1}^{k}\left(\!\!\binom{A}{k-l}\!\!\right)A\Bigg]q^{-k/2} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\!\!\binom{A}{k}\!\!\right)q^{-k/2} && \text{since } \sum_{l=1}^{k}\left(\!\!\binom{A}{k-l}\!\!\right)A = \left(\!\!\binom{A}{k}\!\!\right)k
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim.

Lemma 13 follows from the claim by

$$\mathbb{E}[g_n^2, S_n] \le \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\!\!\binom{A}{i}\!\!\right)q^{-i/2} \le \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\!\!\binom{A}{i}\!\!\right)q^{-i/2} = (1-q^{-1/2})^{-A} =: B.$$

Lemma 15 (**Comparison Lemma**). *Suppose V is a geometrically connected scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $d := \dim V \ge 1$. There exists a constant B which only depends on V such that for any sequence $f_n : S_n \to [0,1]$ of class functions of S_n, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[f_n, \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)] \le B\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[f_n, S_n]}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[f_n, \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)] &= \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)} f_n(C) \\
&= \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{\mu\vdash n}\Big|\Big\{C\in\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q) : \mu_C = \mu\Big\}\Big| f_n(\mu) \qquad (5.2)
\end{aligned}$$

See Remark 5 for the definition of μ_C. For any partition $\mu = 1^{\mu_1}2^{\mu_2}\cdots n^{\mu_n}$ of n, notice that

$$\Big|\Big\{C\in\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q) : \mu_C = \mu\Big\}\Big| = \prod_{j=1}^{n}\binom{\pi_j}{\mu_j}$$

$$\frac{1}{n!}\Big|\Big\{\sigma\in S_n : \mu_\sigma = \mu\Big\}\Big| = \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{n} j^{\mu_j}\mu_j!\Big)^{-1} =: z_\mu^{-1}$$

Then (5.2) gives:

$$\mathbb{E}[f_n, \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)] = \frac{q^{dn}}{|\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n} \binom{\pi_j}{\mu_j} \frac{j^{\mu_j} \mu_j!}{q^{dj\mu_j}} \right] \frac{f_n(\mu)}{z_\mu}$$

$$= \frac{q^{dn}}{|\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} g_n(\mu) \frac{f_n(\mu)}{z_\mu} \qquad g_n \text{ was defined in Lemma 13} \tag{5.3}$$

By Lemma 9, there is a constant A depending only on V such that

$$\frac{q^{dn}}{|\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \leq A, \qquad \forall n.$$

Thus (5.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[f_n, \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)] &\leq A \cdot \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{g_n(\mu) f_n(\mu)}{z_\mu} = A \cdot \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} g_n(\sigma) f_n(\sigma) \\
&= A \cdot \mathbb{E}[g_n \cdot f_n, S_n] \\
&\leq A \cdot \mathbb{E}[g_n^2, S_n]^{1/2} \cdot \mathbb{E}[f_n^2, S_n]^{1/2} && \text{by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality} \\
&\leq B \cdot \mathbb{E}[f_n^2, S_n]^{1/2} && \text{for another constant } B \text{ by Lemma 13} \\
&\leq B \cdot \mathbb{E}[f_n, S_n]^{1/2} && \text{since } f_n \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 15 is proved.

Remark 6 (**Comparing measures on S_n**)**.** The set of conjugacy classes in S_n admits a standard probability measure, namely, the uniform measure on S_n. Recall in (3.8) we defined the following map for each n:

$$\psi_n : \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q) \to \{\text{conjugacy classes in } S_n\},$$

by $C \mapsto \sigma_C$. Thus, one can get nonstandard measures on the set of conjugacy classes of S_n by the pushforward of the uniform measure on $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$, or on $\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$, via ψ_n. The proof of Lemma 15 is a direct comparison of these measures. In the case when $V = \mathbb{A}^1$, the pushforward of the uniform measure on $\mathrm{Conf}^n \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ via ψ_n is precisely what Hyde-Lagarias [15] called *the q-splitting measure* on the set of conjugacy classes of S_n.

Step 2: Approximate the left hand side of (5.1) in Theorem 12. We now introduce the following function which will approximate the left hand side of (5.1) in Theorem 12: for M and N with $0 < M < N < n$, define

$$\mu_{r,L}(C) := \frac{1}{\log(N) - \log(M)} \cdot \lambda\left(\left\{ t \in [\log M, \log N] : \left| \phi(C) \cap [t, t+L] \right| = k \right\} \right)$$

where again λ denotes the Lebesgue measure. We point out that $\mu_{r,L}$ also depends on M, N, even though we surpress this in our notation. Our goal in this step is to prove Proposition 17 below, which bounds the expected value of $\mu_{r,L}(C)$ for $C \in \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in certain range of related constants.

Proposition 16. *There exists a constant B depending only on V such that for any N, M satisfying $M \leq \sqrt{n} \leq N \leq n$, for $m := (10 \log\log N)/(\log\log\log N)^2$, and for any r, L with $r \leq m/10$, and $(\log\log N)/M < L \leq m/10$,*

$$\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \sum_{C \in \mathrm{Conf}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)} |\mu_{r,L}(C) - \frac{e^{-L} L^r}{r!}| \leq B \cdot \left(\frac{e^{-L} L^r}{r!} \frac{1}{2^m} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Granville proved in equation (4.1) of [14] that for any N, M, m, r, L satisfying the assumptions of this proposition,

$$\frac{1}{|S_n|}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}|\mu_{r,L}(\sigma)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}|\leq\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\frac{1}{2^m}.$$

Proposition 16 follows by applying Lemma 15 to Granville's estimate.

Proposition 17. *There exists a constant B depending only on V such that for all large enough n, for every $\epsilon>0$, and every M,N satisfying $0\leq M\leq\sqrt{n^{1-\epsilon}}$ and $\sqrt{n}\leq N\leq n^{1-\epsilon}$, let $m:=(10\log\log N)/(\log\log\log N)^2$, for every r,L satisfying $r\leq m/10$, and $(\log\log N)/M<L\leq m/10$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)}\left|\mu_{r,L}(C)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\right|\leq B\cdot\left(\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof of Proposition 17. Every 0-cycle can be written uniquely as a sum $C+2D$ where C is square-free. This gives the following partition of $\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$:

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)=\bigcup_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)\times\mathrm{Sym}^j V(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)}\left|\mu_{r,L}(C)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\right|\\ &=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\sum_{\substack{C\in\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)\\ D\in\mathrm{Sym}^j V(\mathbb{F}_q)}}\left|\mu_{r,L}(C+2D)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\right|\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

Lemma 18. *For any $C\in\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and any $D\in\mathrm{Sym}^j V(\mathbb{F}_q)$, we have*

$$|\mu_{r,L}(C+D)-\mu_{r,L}(C)|\leq\frac{\log j}{\log(N/M)}.$$

Proof of Lemma 18.

$$\mu_{r,L}(C+D)=\frac{1}{\log(N/M)}\lambda\Big(\{t\in[\log M,\log N]:\phi(C+D)\cap[t,t+L]=r\}\Big)$$

Notice that $\phi(C+D)=\phi(C)\cup\phi(D)$, where $\phi(D)\subset[0,\log j]$. Therefore, $\phi(C+D)$ is the same as $\phi(C)$ except on the small interval $[0,\log j]$. Thus, we have

$$\left|\lambda\Big(\Big\{t\in[\log M,\log N]:\Big|\phi(C+D)\cap[t,t+L]\Big|=r\Big\}\Big)-\lambda\Big(\Big\{t\in[\log M,\log N]:\Big|\phi(C)\cap[t,t+L]\Big|=r\Big\}\Big)\right|\leq\log j$$

which gives that

$$|\mu_{r,L}(C+D)-\mu_{r,L}(C)|\leq\frac{\log j}{\log(N/M)}.$$

By Lemmas 9 and 7, we know there exists a constant A such that for all n, j

$$\frac{|\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)\times \mathrm{Sym}^j V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\leq Aq^{-jd}.$$

Thus, (5.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{C\in \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q)}\left|\mu_{r,L}(C)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\right|\\ &\leq A\cdot\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\left[\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)\times \mathrm{Sym}^j V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{\substack{C\in \mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)\\ D\in \mathrm{Sym}^j V(\mathbb{F}_q)}}\left|\mu_{r,L}(C+2D)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\right|\right]q^{-jd}\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 18 and triangle inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\leq A\cdot\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\left[\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)\times \mathrm{Sym}^j V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{\substack{C\in \mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)\\ D\in \mathrm{Sym}^j V(\mathbb{F}_q)}}\left|\mu_{r,L}(C)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\right|+\frac{\log 2j}{\log(N/M)}\right]q^{-jd}\\ &=\frac{A}{\log(N/M)}\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\log(2j)q^{-jd}+A\cdot\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\left[\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{C\in \mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)}\left|\mu_{r,L}(C)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\right|\right]q^{-jd}\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

For the first summand in (5.5), we know that the infinite series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\log(2j)q^{-jd}$ converges by the ratio test. Thus, there exists a constant B such that

$$\frac{A}{\log(N/M)}\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\log(2j)q^{-jd}\leq\frac{B}{\log(N/M)}\ll\left(\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{1/2}\tag{5.6}$$

where the last equality holds in the prescribed ranges of M, N, L, r, m.

For the second summand in (5.5), we divide the sum over j into two parts: when $j\leq (n-n^{1-\epsilon})/2$, and when $j>(n-n^{1-\epsilon})/2$. When $j\leq(n-n^{1-\epsilon})/2$, Proposition 16 holds for $\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and thus there is a constant A depending only on V such that

$$\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{C\in \mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)}\left|\mu_{r,L}(C)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\right|\leq A\cdot\left(\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}\left[\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)}\left|\mu_{r,L}(C)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\right|\right]q^{-jd}\\
&\quad=\sum_{j\le(n-n^{1-\epsilon})/2}\left[\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)}\left|\mu_{r,L}(C)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\right|\right]q^{-jd}\\
&\quad+\sum_{j>(n-n^{1-\epsilon})/2}\left[\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Conf}^{n-2j}V(\mathbb{F}_q)}\left|\mu_{r,L}(C)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\right|\right]q^{-jd}\\
&\quad\le\sum_{j\le(n-n^{1-\epsilon})/2}A\cdot\left(\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{1/2}q^{-jd}+\sum_{j>(n-n^{1-\epsilon})/2}q^{-jd}\\
&\quad\le A'\cdot\left(\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{1/2}+A''\cdot q^{-d(n-n^{1-\epsilon})/2}\qquad\text{for some constants } A' \text{ and } A''\\
&\quad\le A'''\cdot\left(\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{1/2}\qquad\text{for some constant } A'''
\end{aligned}\tag{5.7}$$

The last inequality comes from the observation that $q^{-d(n-n^{1-\epsilon})/2}<q^{-\epsilon n}\ll\left(\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{1/2}$ in the given ranges of r, L, m.

Combining (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7), there is a constant B such that

$$\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Sym}^nV(\mathbb{F}_q)|}\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Sym}^nV(\mathbb{F}_q)}\left|\mu_{r,L}(C)-\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\right|\le B\cdot\left(\frac{e^{-L}L^r}{r!}\frac{1}{2^m}\right)^{1/2}.\tag{5.8}$$

Step 3: Deduce Theorem 12 from Proposition 17. The deduction below mimics the deduction of Theorem 1.3 from Proposition 4.2 in [22].

We apply Proposition 17 by choosing $\epsilon:=(\log\log n)^2/\log n$, and $N:=n^{1-\epsilon}$, and $M:=n^\epsilon$. Let m be as in Proposition 17. With these choices, any r, L satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 12 will also satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 17.

We construct the subset $\Sigma\subset\mathrm{Sym}^nV(\mathbb{F}_q)$ as follows. For nonnegative integers j, r, we set $L_j:=m^{-1}(1+2^{-m/6})^j$ and let $\Sigma_{j,r}$ contain all $C\in\mathrm{Sym}^nV(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that

$$\left|\mu_{r,L_j}(C)-e^{-L_j}\frac{L_j^r}{r!}\right|\ge\frac{1}{2^{m/6+1}}\left(e^{-L_j}\frac{L_j^r}{r!}\right)^{1/2}.\tag{5.9}$$

We set $\Sigma:=\bigcup_{j,r}\Sigma_{j,r}$ for $0\le j\le 2^{m/6+1}\log m$ and $r\le m(\log m)^{-2}$. The total number of such pairs (j,r) are

$$2^{m/6+1}\log m\cdot m(\log m)^{-2}=\frac{m}{\log m}2^{m/6+1}.$$

For each pair j, r, by Proposition 17 we have

$$|\Sigma_{j,r}|=|\mathrm{Sym}^nV(\mathbb{F}_q)|\;O(2^{-m/3}).$$

Thus,

$$|\Sigma|=|\mathrm{Sym}^nV(\mathbb{F}_q)|\;O(\frac{m}{\log m}2^{-m/6}),$$

which is within the claimed error.

Next, we show that all $C \in \mathrm{Sym}^n V(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \Sigma$ satisfy (5.1). For any $L \in [1/m, m/20]$, there is some j such that $L_j \le L < L_{j+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{r,L}(C) &= \sum_{i\le r} \mu_{i,L}(C) - \sum_{i\le r-1} \mu_{i,L}(C) \\
&\le \sum_{i\le r} \mu_{i,L_j}(C) - \sum_{i\le r-1} \mu_{i,L_{j+1}}(C) && \text{since } L_j \le L < L_{j+1} \\
&\le \sum_{i\le r} \left[e^{-L_j} \frac{L_j^i}{i!} + \frac{1}{2^{m/6+1}} \left(e^{-L_j} \frac{L_j^i}{i!} \right)^{1/2} \right] && \text{by (5.9)} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i\le r-1} \left[e^{-L_{j+1}} \frac{L_{j+1}^i}{i!} - \frac{1}{2^{m/6+1}} \left(e^{-L_{j+1}} \frac{L_{j+1}^i}{i!} \right)^{1/2} \right] \\
&= e^{-L_{j+1}} \frac{L_{j+1}^r}{r!} + O\Bigg(\frac{m}{2^{m/6}} \underbrace{\sum_{i\le r} \left(e^{-L_{j+1}} \frac{L_{j+1}^i}{i!} \right)^{1/2}}_{(*)} \Bigg) && \text{since } e^{-L_j} \frac{L_j^i}{i!} = e^{-L_{j+1}} \frac{L_{j+1}^i}{i!} \left(1 + O(\frac{m}{2^{m/6}}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$(*) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (e^{-L_{j+1}} \frac{L_{j+1}^i}{i!})^{1/2} \qquad \text{the infinite series converges by the ratio test.}$$

Thus, we have

$$\mu_{r,L}(C) \le e^{-L} \frac{L^r}{r!} + O\left(\frac{m}{2^{m/6}} \right) \le e^{-L} \frac{L^r}{r!} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{2^{m/15}} \right) \right],$$

where the last inequality holds because in the given range of r and L, we have $m 2^{-m/10} \ll e^{-L} L^r / r!$.

The lower bound

$$\mu_{r,L}(C) \ge e^{-L} \frac{L^r}{r!} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{2^{m/15}} \right) \right]$$

can be obtained in a similar way by considering

$$\mu_{r,L}(C) \ge \sum_{i\le r} \mu_{i,L_{j+1}}(C) - \sum_{i\le r-1} \mu_{i,L_j}(C).$$

Since we chose m and y such that $m \le y$, combining the lower and the upper bounds, we have

$$\mu_{r,L}(C) = e^{-L} \frac{L^r}{r!} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{2^{m/15}} \right) \right] = e^{-L} \frac{L^r}{r!} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{2^{y/15}} \right) \right].$$

Finally, to establish (5.1), we note that

$$\frac{1}{\log n} \lambda \left(\left\{ t \in [0, \log n] : \left| \phi(C) \cap [t, t+L] = k \right| \right\} \right) = \mu_{r,L}(C) + O(\epsilon).$$

The ϵ we have chosen is so small that

$$\epsilon \ll \frac{e^{-L} L^r}{r!} \frac{1}{2^{y/15}}$$

in the given ranges of r and L. Theorem 12 is thus proved.

6. Further questions

In Table 1, we see that integer multiplication corresponds to the formal addition of 0-cycles. Is there any operation on 0-cycles that corresponds to integer addition? Can one do additive number theory for 0-cycles? For example, how would a twin prime conjecture for 0-cycles state?

References

[1] R. Arratia, A. Barbour, and S. Tavare, On random polynomials over finite fields, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* (1993) **114**, 347-368.

[2] A. Buchstab, Asymptotic estimation of a general number-theoretic function, in *Matematicheskii Sobornik* (in Russian), 2(44) (6) (1937): 1239-1246.

[3] E. Bender, A. Mashatan, D. Panario, B. Richmond, Asymptotics of combinatorial structures with large smallest component, in *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, A 107 (2004) 117–125.

[4] W. Chen, Twisted cohomology of configuration spaces and spaces of maximal tori via point-counting, *preprint*, arXiv:1603.03931 (2016).

[5] T. Church, J. Ellenberg, and B. Farb. *Representation stability in cohomology and asymptotics for families of varieties over finite fields*, in *Contemporary Math.*, 620 (2014): 1-54.

[6] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil: II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, No. 52 (1980), pp.137–252.

[7] K. Dickman, On the frequency of numbers containing prime factors of a certain relative magnitude". *Arkiv för Matematik, Astronomi och Fysik.* **22A** (1930) (10): 1–14.

[8] P. Erdős and M. Kac, The gaussian law of errors in the theory of additive number theoretic functions, *Amer. J. Math.* **62** (1940), 738-742.

[9] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick, *Analytic Combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2009.

[10] P. Flajolet and M. Soria, Gaussian limiting distributions for the number of components in combinatorial structures. *Jour. Comb. Theor. A.* **153** (1990) 165-182.

[11] B. Farb and J. Wolfson, Étale homological stability and arithmetic statistics, *preprint* arXiv:1512.00415 (2015).

[12] A. Granville, Prime divisors are Poisson distributed, in *Int. J. of Number Theory* **03** (01), November 2011.

[13] A. Granville, The anatomy of integers and permutations, *preprint* (2008).

[14] A. Granville, Cycle lengths in a permutation are typically Poisson distributed, in *Elec. J. of Combinatorics*, **13**, 2006.

[15] T. Hyde and J. Lagarias, Polynomial splitting measures and cohomology of the pure braid group, *preprint*, arXiv:1604.05359 (2016).

[16] Y. Liu, A generalization of the Erdős-Kac theorem and its applications, in *Canad. Math. Bull.* **47** (2004), 589-606.

[17] S. Lang and A. Weil, Number of points of varieties in finite fields, in *Amer. J. Math.* 76 (1954), 819–827. 2

[18] M. Omar, D. Panario, B. Richmond, and J. Whitely, Asymptotics of largest components in combinatorial structures, in *Algorithmica* 46 (3) (2006): 493-503.

[19] B. Poonen, Bertini theorems over finite fields, in *Ann. of Math.* (2004): 1099-1127.

[20] D. Mumford, *Abelian Varieties*. Tata Inst. Fund. Research Studies in Math, 1970, Oxford University Press.

[21] V. Ramaswami, On the number of positive integers less than x and free of prime divisors greater than x^c. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society.* 55 (12) (1949): 1122–1127.

[22] R. Rhoades, Statistics of prime divisors in function fields, in *Int. J. Number Theory*, 05, 141 (2009).

[23] A. Weil, Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields, in *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1949), 497-508.

Department of Mathematics,
University of Chicago,
5734 S. University Ave.
Chicago, IL 60637, U.S.A.

E-mail: chen@math.uchicago.edu